

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF UTAH

In The Matter Of The Application	:	Docket No. 04-035-30
Of PacifiCorp For a Certificate of	:	Surrebuttal Testimony Of
Convenience and Necessity	:	Cheryl Murray
Authorizing Construction of the	:	For the Committee of
Lake Side Power Project	:	Consumer Services

21 October 2004

1 **Q. Please state your name.**

2 A. My name is Cheryl Murray.

3

4 **Q. Did you previously offer testimony in this proceeding?**

5 A. Yes, I filed direct testimony on 27 September 2004.

6

7 **Q. What is the purpose of your surrebuttal testimony?**

8 A. The purpose of my surrebuttal testimony is to correct a statement in my
9 direct testimony.

10

11 **Q. Please direct us to that statement you wish to correct.**

12 A. On page 3, line 5, I state that “In particular, the resource category was
13 labeled as “peaking,” but the evidentiary record in the case clearly shows
14 that the Company plans to operate Currant Creek as a base load
15 resource”.

16

17 **Q. How would you restate it?**

18 A. In particular, the resource category was labeled as “peaking,” but the
19 evidentiary record in the case shows that the Company expects to operate
20 Currant Creek as a “hybrid” plant. Specifically, the plant is expected to be
21 operated at a relatively high capacity factor, but have the flexibility to be
22 ramped up and down intra-day in order to meet changing load
23 requirements.

24

25 **Q. Does this conclude your testimony?**

26 A. Yes.